

## A Note on Chaotic Maps

Wang Kunjian

Department of Mathematics  
Liaoning Normal University  
Dalian, Liaoning Province  
China

**Abstract.** It is well-known that, if a continuous map  $f$  of a closed interval into itself has a prime periodic point, then it is chaotic. The converse is not true. In this note, it is shown that a necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  to have a prime periodic point is that it is chaotic and there is a chaotic set consisting of only nonwandering points. Let  $f$  be a continuous map of the closed interval  $I$  into itself.  $f$  is said to be chaotic (in Li-Yorke's sense) if

- (1)  $f$  has infinitely many periods (of periodic points);
- (2) there is an (uncountable) chaotic set  $S \subset I$ , i.e., an uncountable set  $S \subset I$  having the following properties:
  - (a) for any  $x, y \in S$ , with  $x \neq y$ , there exist sequences  $m_i, n_i$ , such that  $f^{m_i}(x)$  and  $f^{m_i}(y)$  converge to the same point but  $f^{n_i}(x)$  and  $f^{n_i}(y)$  converge to different points,
  - (b) for every  $x \in S$  and every  $p \in$  the set  $p(f)$  of periodic point of  $f$ , there exists a sequence  $k_i$  such that  $f^{k_i}(x)$  and  $f^{k_i}(p)$  converge to different points.

Li and Yorke [4] proved that a sufficient condition for  $f$  to be chaotic is that it has a periodic point with period 3. Later, Oono [6] weakened the condition to that  $f$  has a prime periodic point, i.e., a periodic point with period not a power of 2. Xiong Jincheng [3] showed by an example that this condition is not necessary. On the other hand, Zhou Zuoling [7] proved a theorem which may be stated in the following form: if  $f$  has a prime periodic point, then it is chaotic and the chaotic set  $S$  can be taken as a subset of the nonwandering set  $\Omega(f)$ . The main purpose of the present note is to prove that the converse of this theorem also holds.

We denote the orbit  $\{f^n(x) \mid n \geq 0\}$  of an  $x \in I$  by  $O(x, f)$ .  $y$  is called an  $\omega$ -limiting point of  $x$ , if there is a sequence  $n_i$  such that  $f^{n_i}(x)$  converges to  $y$ . The set of all  $\omega$ -limiting points of  $x$  is denoted by  $\omega(x, f)$ .  $x$  is said to be a chain recurrent point of  $f$ , if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$ , such that  $x_0 = x_n = x$  and  $|f(x_i) - x_{i+1}| < \epsilon$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . The set of all chain recurrent points of  $f$  is denoted by  $CR(f)$ .  $f$  is said to be of type  $2^\infty$ , if  $f$  has no prime periodic points.  $O(x, f)$  is said to be strongly separated under  $f$ , if there exists a fixed point  $z$  between  $x$  and  $f(x)$  such that, for all  $y \in O(x, f)$ ,  $f(y) > z$  if and only if  $y < z$ .

**Lemma 1.** For any  $x \in CR(f) - P(f)$ ,  $O(x, f^n)$  is strongly separated under  $f$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) if and only if  $f$  is of type  $2^\infty$ .

For a proof, see reference [2].

**Lemma 2.** If  $f$  is of type  $2^\infty$ , then for any  $x \in CR(f) - P(f)$ , none of the  $\omega$ -limiting points of  $x$  is periodic.

For a proof, see reference [2].

**Lemma 3.** Let  $f$  be of type  $2^\infty$  and let  $n > 0$ . If  $O(x, f^{kn})$  is strongly separated under  $f^{kn}$  for  $k=1,2$ , then the convex hull of  $O(x, f^{2n})$  contains no fixed point of  $f^n$ .

For a proof, see reference [5].

**Lemma 4.** Let  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . If for some sequence  $m_i$ ,  $f^{m_i}(x_1)$  and  $f^{m_i}(x_2)$  converge to the same point  $y$ , then for any  $n > 0$ , there exists  $l > 0$  such that

$$f^l(y) \in \omega(x_1, f^n) \cap \omega(x_2, f^n).$$

*Proof.* By hypothesis,  $y$  belongs to  $\omega(x_1, f)$  and  $\omega(x_2, f)$ . Since some one of the finitely many residue classes of the integers mod  $n$  must contain a sub-sequence of the sequence  $m_1, m_2, \dots$ ,  $y$  must belong to  $\omega(f^k(x_1), f^n)$  and  $\omega(f^k(x_2), f^n)$  for some  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Let  $l = n - k$ . We have

$$f^l(y) \in f^l[\omega(f^k(x_1), f^n) \cap \omega(f^k(x_2), f^n)] \subset f^l[\omega(f^k(x_1), f^n)] \cap f^l[\omega(f^k(x_2), f^n)],$$

which, by the continuity of  $f$ , is contained in

$$\omega(f^{k+l}(x_1), f^n) \cap \omega(f^{k+l}(x_2), f^n) = \omega(f^n(x_1), f^n) \cap \omega(f^n(x_2), f^n) = \omega(x_1, f^n) \cap \omega(x_2, f^n).$$

**Lemma 5.** Let  $f$  be of type  $2^\infty$ ,  $x \in CR(f) - P(f)$ . Then, for any  $m, n$ ,  $m \neq n$ , there must be a periodic point between  $f^m(x)$  and  $f^n(x)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $m < n$ . Let  $q = n - m$ ,  $y = f^m(x)$ . Since  $x \in CR(f)$ , by the continuity of  $f^m$ ,  $y \in CR(f)$ . If  $y \in P(f)$ , then  $y \in \omega(x, f)$ . By lemma 2, any  $\omega$ -limiting point of  $x$  cannot be a periodic point, therefore  $y \notin P(f)$ , and hence  $y \in CR(f) - P(f)$ . Thus, by lemma 1, there must be a fixed point of  $f^q$  between  $y$  and  $f^q(y)$ , which is then a periodic point of  $f$  between  $f^m(x)$  and  $f^n(x)$ .

**Theorem.** The following three statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  has a prime periodic point;
- (2) there is a chaotic set  $S \subset \Omega(f)$ ;
- (3) there is a chaotic set  $S \subset CR(f)$ .

*Proof.* (1) implies (2). This is the result in [7] above quoted. (2) implies (3). This follows from the fact that  $\Omega(f) \subset CR(f)$ . (3) implies (1). Suppose there is a chaotic set  $S \subset CR(f)$ . Let  $x_1, x_2 \in S$ ,  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . By (b) in the definition of chaotic sets,  $S$  contains no periodic points. Thus,  $x_1, x_2 \in CR(f) - P(f)$ . Assume, contrary to (1),  $f$  is of type  $2^\infty$ .

*Case 1.* For any  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , there is no periodic points between  $f^n(x_1)$  and  $f^n(x_2)$ . In this case, if  $m \neq n$ ,  $f^m(x_1)$  cannot lie between  $f^n(x_1)$  and  $f^n(x_2)$ , for, otherwise, there would be a periodic point between  $f^m(x_1)$  and  $f^n(x_1)$  by lemma 5, and this periodic point would lie between  $f^n(x_1)$  and  $f^n(x_2)$ . Similarly, if  $m \neq n$ ,  $f^m(x_2)$  cannot lie between  $f^n(x_1)$  and  $f^n(x_2)$  also. It follows that the intervals with end-points  $f^n(x_1)$  and  $f^n(x_2)$  have no common point for different  $n$ 's. So,  $|f^n(x_1) - f^n(x_2)|$  must converge to zero, and we cannot find a sequence  $n_i$  such that  $f^{n_i}(x_1)$  and  $f^{n_i}(x_2)$  converge to different points, violating (a) in the definition of chaotic sets.

*Case 2.* For a certain  $n_0$ , there is a periodic point  $p$  between  $f^{n_0}(x_1)$  and  $f^{n_0}(x_2)$ . Let  $t$  be the period of  $p$ . Let  $g = f^{n_0}$ ,  $y_1 = g(x_1)$ ,  $y_2 = g(x_2)$ . Since  $f$  is of type  $2^\infty$ ,  $g$  is also of type  $2^\infty$ . Since  $x_1, x_2 \in CR(f)$ , it follows from the continuity of  $g$ , then  $y_1, y_2 \in CR(f)$ . But  $CR(f) \subset CR(g)$ ,  $P(f) = P(g)$ . So,  $y_1, y_2 \in CR(g) - P(g)$ . Hence, by lemma 1,  $O(y_1, g^{tk})$  and  $O(y_2, g^{tk})$  are strongly separated under  $g^{tk}$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . By lemma 3, the convex hulls of  $O(y_1, g^{2t})$  and  $O(y_2, g^{2t})$  contain no fixed points of  $g^t$ . Since  $p$  is a fixed point of  $g^t$ ,  $O(y_1, g^{2t})$  must be on the same side of  $p$ , and  $O(y_2, g^{2t})$  must be on the other side. Now, by lemma 2,  $\omega(y_1, g^{2t})$  and  $\omega(y_2, g^{2t})$  contain no periodic point of  $g$ , and hence, do not contain  $p$ . So,

$$\omega(y_1, g^{2t}) \cap \omega(y_2, g^{2t}) = \emptyset. \quad (*)$$

Since  $x_1, x_2 \in$  the chaotic set  $S$ , there is a sequence  $n_i$  such that  $f^{n_i}(x_1)$  and  $f^{n_i}(x_2)$  converge to the same point  $y$ . From this, we see that there is a sequence  $m_i$  such that  $f^{m_i}(y_1)$  and  $f^{m_i}(y_2)$  both converge to  $y$ . By lemma 4, there is an  $l > 0$  such that

$$f^l(y) \in \omega(y_1, f^{2n_0l}) \cap \omega(y_2, f^{2n_0l}),$$

which contradicts (\*).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Block and J. Franke. The chain recurrent set for maps of the interval. *Proc. A.M.S.*, 87:723-727, 1983.
- [2] Liao Gongfu. Chain recurrent orbits of mapping of the interval (in English). *Northeastern Math. J.*, 2:1-3, 1986.
- [3] Xiong Jincheng. A chaotic map with topological entropy 0. *ICTP, Trieste, Preprint, IC/84/193*.
- [4] T.Y. Li and J. Yorke. Period 3 implies chaos. *Am. Math. Monthly*, 82:985-992, 1975.
- [5] M. Misiurewicz. Invariant measures for continuous transformations of  $[0,1]$  with zero topological entropy. In *Lect. Notes in Math. (729)*, pages 144-152, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [6] Y. Oono. Period  $\neq 2^\infty$  implies chaos. *Prog. Theor. Phys.*, 59:1028, 1987.
- [7] Zhou Zuoling. A note on the Li-Yorke's Theorem (in Chinese). *Bulletin of Science*, 1:1-3, 1986.